



COP15, Copenhagen, December 2009

Overview current Annex-I reduction proposals

**Michiel Schaeffer (CA) Claudine Chen (PIK)
Julia Nabel (PIK), Bill Hare (CA & PIK),
Kirsten Macey (CA), Niklas Höhne (Ecofys),
Katja Eisbrenner (Ecofys), Christian Ellermann (Ecofys)**





Overview

- Country proposals
- Rating based on effort sharing of 25-40% total reduction

- Aggregate Pathway



- Global picture




Potsdam
Real-time
Integrated
Model for probabilistic
Assessment of emissions
Paths






Norway: -30 to -40%






EU27: -20 to -30%






Japan: -25%






USA: -17 to -20%






Australia: -5 to -25%






Russian Federation: -20 to -25%






Annex-I aggregate: -8 to -14% (-13 to -19% without LULUCF credits)






Banking of excess AAUs: Low ambition targets






Banking of excess AAUs: High ambition targets





Targets and credits






Global picture




Global total compared to 450 & 350 pathways






Global warming projection using bottom-up reduction proposals





Global warming projection using bottom-up reduction proposals



Thank you.

Further information

www.climateactiontracker.org

www.climateanalytics.org

www.ecofys.com

www.primap.org





Our Aim: Synthesis of climate science, including its uncertainties, for informing international climate policy negotiations.