

Lessons from FLEGT for REDD

Key lessons on 'processes' for good multi-stakeholder involvement and engagement



Une ONG œuvrant pour une plus grande justice sociale et environnementale, en se focalisant sur les forêts et les droits des populations de ces régions au sein des politiques et des pratiques de l'Union européenne.

Outline of the presentation

1. Why processes aiming to improve forest governance fail

What is needed for real change

- 2. VPAs: the cornerstone of FLEGT *How FLEGT improves governance*
- 3. Some key lessons and achievements from FLEGT
- 4. Conclusions
- 5. Final reflection revisiting the history





1. Why processes aiming to improve forest governance fail Or what is needed for real change?

There is consensus that REDD can only work if it improves forest governance Stern Review; World Bank; ITTO; FAO; WRI; & long etcetera

5 principles of good governance

- Transparency
- Participation
- Accountability
- Coordination
- Capacity



FLEGT, REDD & any other process aiming to protect forests share the same challenges:

weaknesses in forest governance must be addressed





2. VPAs – The cornerstone of FLEGT

- Legally binding bilateral trade agreements that should have the buy-in of national stakeholders, including NGOs, local communities, indigenous peoples, and the timber industry.
- VPAs set out the commitments and actions of both parties to tackle illegal logging, including measures to increase participation of non-state stakeholders and rightsholders, recognise rights of communities to the land and address corruption.

The EU Council conclusions on FLEGT states that VPAs must, among others, "instigate forest sector governance reforms... to:

- strengthen land tenure and access rights(...);
- strengthen effective participation of all stakeholders... in policy-making and implementation;
- increase transparency... including through... independent monitoring;
 reduce corruption"





How FLEGT improves governance

- <u>Multi-stakeholder negotiating</u> process
- <u>Full participation</u> of non-state actors in decision making
- Outcomes include commitments to <u>law reform</u> and continued participation in policy reform
- Ongoing processes to <u>share information</u> and <u>build</u> <u>capacity</u> and knowledge on the issue
- Real <u>incentives</u> and <u>sanctions</u>



How is the process working?

FERN believes:

- VPAs are a real tool for for improving forest governance.
- Successes in Ghana, Congo and Cameroon
- NGOs <u>AND</u> Government <u>AND</u> industry believe VPA is way forward



Hindrances for FLEGT to work

 Stakeholder engagement remains a challenge in a number of countries where local groups fail to developed mechanisms to represent themselves or do not engage (lack of interest? capacity?)



So, if the underlying causes of forest loss are similar for REDD and FLEGT:

What is it for us to learn?





3. SOME KEY LESSONS FROM FLEGT...



KEY LESSON and ACHIEVEMENT: Participation in decision making

- <u>Legitimacy of decision making</u> processes requires participation from non-state actors
- <u>Realistic timeframes</u> needed
- This requires independent and <u>functioning civil society</u>

Key success of FLEGT is the move from adequate consultation to genuine participation

- <u>Interactive process</u> that should allow for ideas, inputs and capacities to develop over time
- Tangible outputs to consultation and participation







KEY LESSON and ACHIEVEMENT: law and policy reform is key

Participation is not an objective, is a means. Are we achieving the changes we are asking for?

- VPAs are characterised by a focus on <u>legal review</u>, <u>policy</u> <u>reform</u> and commitment to ongoing <u>participation</u> of non-state actors.
- REDD RPPs are characterised by a focus on <u>technical</u> <u>capacity building for monitoring forest carbon</u> which displaces the commitment to governance reforms.

we can't see the forest for the carbon?

Long term planning for sustainable (economic, social and environmental) development is an essential element of tackling deforestation



KEY LESSON: Incentives and sanctions

 FLEGT - donor commitments to only provide funds on the basis of whether certain governance targets have been attained (real incentives and sanctions)

Reason? Real commitment from key actors to address the underlying causes of the problem

• REDD – disappearing carrots and no sticks

Reason? Key actors are primarily concerned in benefitting from the problem



4. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. <u>Focus on governance is key!</u> By focusing on emissions, REDD becomes a technical exercise divorced from rights, accountability, justice...
- 2. To achieve that... <u>Participation is key!</u> *REDD is failing to build on existing processes or follow minimum consultation standards*
- 3. <u>REDD risks undermining FLEGT</u>. Real danger that gov'ts focus on money and distract the limited capacities from implementing agreements secured through FLEGT on what is needed to improve governance.

Maybe not that strange?



5. FINAL REFLECTION — revisiting the history

- FLEGT was developed by those wanting to improve governance.
- REDD was developed by carbon addicts... ... those trying to avoid change!



You will also find **more information about REDD and FLEGT** and other related issues at

http://www.fern.org/

If you would like to receive **further information** about the REDD and FLEGT processes and how they are being implemented, please contact

> **Kate Dooley t** +32 (0) 2 894 46 98 **e** kate@fern.org





Une ONG œuvrant pour une plus grande justice sociale et environnementale, en se focalisant sur les forêts et les droits des populations de ces régions au sein des politiques et des pratiques de l'Union européenne.