

Regnskogfondet

## Paying for more than carbon – ensuring a succesful REDD+?

Nils Hermann Ranum Rainforest Foundation Norway







### The «win-win-win»



Regnskogfondet

Benefits of reduced deforestation and forest degradation

- Protecting natural forests, biodiversity and ecosystem services
- Securing the rights and livelihoods of forest dependent peoples
- •Reducing carbon emissions

Interlinked elements – no long term forest protection without the others



### Where do we stand?



Regnskogfondet

The foundation – Cancun agreement

- •Key focus: Emissions
- Acknowledge wider issues safeguards, national planning
  - Weak implementation language
  - More principles than safeguards
  - Reporting on safeguards?
  - Support of safeguards?
- •The practice rainforest countries
  - Main focus on MRV of carbon human and economic resources



#### **Examples**



- Perception: Safeguards regarded as conditionality – a barrier rather than a tool
- Investments: FCPF readiness costs: approx. 40% to MRV of carbon in REDD+ countries
- FCPF Carbon Fund: Design process now payments based on reduced emissions only
- In country: "Carbon cowboys" Battle over carbon rights, even in countries with clarified land rights



### Why?



- Regnskogfondet
- REDD+ phase 3 will be results based
- "Results" not defined
  - but default thinking: carbon/reduced emissions
- Expectations of a carbon market distort the focus
  - measurement of carbon, at the expense of other important issues
- Result: Wider objectives and safeguards of REDD+ attracts too little attention in REDD+ readiness and implementation
  - even if "everybody" agree that pre-requisite for success



#### Why the «wider» elements of REDD+ are essential



- Regnskogfondet
- Overall objective: "slow, halt and revers forest cover and carbon loss"
- Doesn't help with exact measurement of carbon, if actions taken today endanger the success
- Need to:
  - Secure broad ownership
  - avoid increasing conflicts
  - secure robust ecosystems
  - etc

### The solution?



- Interest and "investment" follow expectations for REDD+ payments
- Broadening the definition of results in REDD+ beyond carbon
- Start defining practical ways to measure the results that we expect REDD+ to deliver
  - carbon a part of it, but not the only
  - environmental issues; biodiversity/ecosystem services
  - good governance, participation
  - Rights of indigenous peoples and local communities
  - addressing drivers of deforestation
- Cancun request: "support" the safeguards
  - Measurable indicators on performance of safeguard implementation?



### **Expectations for Durban**



- LCA request to SBSTA:
  - discuss performance metrics additional to carbon (incl. in phase 3), addressing the wider benefits of REDD+
- Direct future investments and actions in REDD= countries
- Turning the safeguards from conditionality and "the stick" to a *carrot*
  - reward positive outcomes



# Tusen takk! Thank you!



www.rainforest.no Rainforest Foundation Norway