
Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee Work accomplished and challenges ahead

Fatou Gaye

Chair of Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee (JISC)

Question and Answer Session – CMP 3

Nusa Dua (Bali), Indonesia, 4 December 2007



Overview - From CMP 1 to date

CMP 1

- Adopted decision 9/CMP.1, including the JI guidelines (as a part of the “Marrakesh Accords”)
- Adopted decision 10/CMP.1 (“Montreal decision”)
- Established the JISC and elected its members and alternate members

CMP 2

- Adopted JISC rules of procedure & PDD forms (decision 2/CMP.2)
- Amended JI SSC thresholds in line with revised CDM SSC definitions
- Endorsed fee structure
- Took note of JI management plan and others matters in JISC report (decision 3/CMP.2)

9 JISC meetings

- Transparency (observers, webcast, public calls, documents on the web, Q&A sessions at CMPs/SBs, technical workshops)
- Efficient work (planned and timely, based on the experience of CDM EB, significant contributions from JISC members/alternates)
- Completion of ambitious work programme for implementation of JI Track 2 procedure (launch on 26 October 2006)

Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee

Members

Oleg Pluzhnikov (Chair JI-AP)
Daniela Stoycheva
Vlad Trusca

Alternate members

Annex I (EITs)

Evgeny Sokolov
Astrida Celmina
Matej Gasperic

Annex I (Other)

Olle Björk
Maurits Blanson Henkemans
Georg Børsting (Vice-Chair)

Franzjosef Schafhausen
Hiroki Kudo
(Darren Goetze)**

Non-Annex I

Jaime Bravo
Fatou Gaye (Chair)
(*Shailendra Kumar Joshi*)*

Marcos Castro Rodriguez
Vincent K. S. Makonga
Maosheng Duan

Non-Annex I (AOSIS)

Derrick Oderson

Yumiko Crisostomo

* Resignation as JISC member as of 2 May 2007

** Resignation as JISC alternate member as of 15 August 2007



Mandates of JISC (1)

Recommendations and reporting to the CMP

- Make recommendations to the CMP (e.g. on revision of JI guidelines)
- Report on its activities to each session of the CMP

Development of project design document form(s)

- Elaborate and amend JI PDD form(s)
- Develop guidelines for users of JI PDD form(s)

Guidance on baseline setting and monitoring

- Develop guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring (appendix B of the JI guidelines), including provisions for SSC projects
- Review and revise appendix B of the JI guidelines for consideration by the CMP, as appropriate

Supervision of JI Track 2 procedure

- Review determinations regarding PDDs
- Review “verifications” of emission reductions/enhancements of removals

Mandates of JISC (2)

Accreditation of independent entities

- Elaborate standards and procedures for accreditation of independent entities
- Accredit independent entities
- Review standards and procedures for accreditation of independent entities (appendix A of the JI guidelines) and make recommendations to the CMP on revisions

Governance

- Develop rules of procedure of the JISC
- Develop management plan of the JISC, including a budget plan, keep it under review and adjust it, as necessary
- Develop provisions for the charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the JISC
- Draw on expertise necessary to perform its functions

JISC work programme 2006

JISC 01 - 06: operationalisation of JI Track 2 procedure

Mandates	Adoption/agreement (revision) by JISC	Adoption by CMP
Administrative issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rules of procedure • Management plan 2006-2007 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ JISC 01 ✓ JISC 04 (05) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ CMP 2 -
PDD forms <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PDD form • Guidelines for users (PDD form) • LULUCF PDD form • Guidelines for users (LULUCF PDD form) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ JISC 03 ✓ JISC 03 (06) ✓ JISC 04 ✓ JISC 04 (06) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ CMP 2 - ✓ CMP 2 -
Verification procedure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Procedures on public availability of documents • Procedures for appraisals of determinations • Procedures for reviews 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ JISC 04 ✓ JISC 04 ✓ JISC 03 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - - -
Accreditation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standards/procedures • Panel 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ JISC 04 (06) ✓ JISC 03 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - -
Baseline setting and monitoring <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guidance on criteria 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ JISC 04 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -
Small-scale projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provisions for SSC projects • SSC PDD form • Guidelines for users (SSC PDD form) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ JISC 04 (06) ✓ JISC 04 (05) ✓ JISC 05 (06) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ✓ CMP 2 -
Fees <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provisions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ JISC 04 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ CMP 2 (endorsement)
JISC report to CMP 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Main report • Addendum 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ prior to JISC 04 ✓ after JISC 05 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - -

Launch of JI Track 2 procedure on 26 October 2006



JISC meeting schedule 2008

JISC 10*	20-22 February
JISC 11*	7 – 9 April
(SB 28	2 –13 June)
JISC 12*	15 – 17 June
JISC 13*	8 – 10 September
JISC 14*	26 – 28 November
(CMP 4	1 – 12 December)

* Dates include informal consultations

Status of JI Track 2 - Projects

Project design documents (PDDs)

100PDDs have been published for comments:

- Emission reductions 2008 - 2012: ~ 165 million tonnes CO₂ equ.
- Various technologies:
 - Renewable energy (biomass, wind, hydro)
 - Methane avoidance (gas distribution, landfills)
 - Destruction of nitrous oxide from chemical processes (nitric acid production)
 - Energy efficiency (manufacturing industries, district heating)
 - Fuel switch (manufacturing industries, transportation, power generation)
- Host Parties:

➢ Bulgaria (8 projects)	➢ Latvia (1)	➢ Russian Federation (50)
➢ Estonia (4)	➢ Lithuania (7)	➢ Slovakia (1)
➢ Germany (2)	➢ Poland (7)	➢ Ukraine (17)
➢ Hungary (1)	➢ Romania (2)	

Determinations regarding PDDs

First determination deemed final on 26 March 2007:

"Switch from wet-to-dry process at Podilsky Cement"

At its ninth meeting the JISC decided to conduct a detailed review of the determination regarding the PDD for:

"Rehabilitation of Dolna Arda Hydropower Cascade, Bulgaria"



Status of JI Track 2 - Accreditation

Joint Implementation Accreditation Panel (JI-AP)

- Established at JISC 03 (Chair: Oleg Pluzhnikov)
- 8 meetings so far
- JI-AP makes procedural and accreditation recommendations to JISC

JI accreditation process

- Launched on 15 November 2006 (applications from 6 October 2006)
- Accreditation procedure similar to that of CDM (desk-review, on-site assessment, witnessing)

Progress so far

Applications for accreditation

- 15 applications so far (13 DOEs)

Assessment of entities

- Preliminary consideration by JI-AP and establishment of assessment teams for 14 applications
- Desk review completed for 14 applicants
- On-site assessment conducted for 13 applicants
- 40 experts currently in the roster (3 public calls for experts)

Issues under consideration

- Collaboration with CDM accreditation process
- Guidance to assessment teams to ensure consistent assessment work



Status of JI Track 2 - Parties

Participation requirements

Parties involved in JI projects shall inform the secretariat of their designated focal points and national guidelines and procedures for approving JI projects:

- 30 Annex I Parties have informed of their designated focal points
- 21 Annex I Parties have submitted their procedures for approving JI projects

Designated focal point	National guidelines and procedures
Austria	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Belarus*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Belgium	
Bulgaria	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Canada	
Czech Republic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Denmark	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
European Community	
Finland	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
France	

Designated focal point	National guidelines and procedures
Germany	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hungary	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ireland	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Italy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Japan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Liechtenstein	
Lithuania	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Luxembourg	
Netherlands	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Zealand	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Poland	
Portugal	

Designated focal point	National guidelines and procedures
Romania	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Russian Federation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Slovenia	
Spain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sweden	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Switzerland	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ukraine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
United Kingdom	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

* The CMP, by its Decision 10/CMP.2, adopted an amendment to Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol, adding Belarus to it.

Closing remarks

JI Track 2 procedure operational

- Development based on CDM experience, as appropriate

Focus of JISC on operating JI Track 2 procedure

- Accreditation and consideration of determinations
- Additionally, “fine-tuning” of JI Track 2 procedure

First commitment period is approaching

- Encouragement of project participants to submit PDDs/determinations
- Encouragement of Parties to nominate DFPs and inform on national guidelines/procedures

Future JISC activities continue to be subject to resource availability

- JISC appreciates the contributions Parties have made over the biennium, but notes that the JISC has had to operate without the full funding requested
- In the next biennium, the JISC will need to rely on the contributions by Parties, with 75% of its required budgeted needing to be covered by supplementary funding
- Even with the collection of fees in the next biennium the JISC be self-financed in 2010 at the earliest
- Annex I Parties are urged to make early contributions for the next biennium