# California's Climate Change Programs

Dian M. Grueneich, Commissioner California Public Utilities Commission

www.cpuc.ca.gov

**December 6, 2005** 



# California's Energy Utilities

- Investor Owned Utilities (IOUs) meet ~ 80% of the state's power demand (~ 46,000 MW peak demand)
  - Pacific Gas & Electric Company (~ 21,000 MW peak demand)
  - Southern California Edison Company (~ 21,000 MW peak demand)

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (~ 4,000 MW peak demand)

Municipal Utilities – meet ~ 20% of state's demand

> e.g., Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power

 Electric Service Providers (ESPs) – meet ~ 13% of demand in IOU service territories

### Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions Strategies in Support of Governor's Goals

<b>CPUC Programs</b>	GHG S (Million T 2010	<b>Savings</b> Sons CO <sub>2</sub> ) 2020
33 Percent Renewable Portfolio Standard	5	11
California Solar Initiative	0.4	3
Energy Efficiency Programs through 2013	4	8.8
Energy Efficiency Programs 2014 through 2020	NA	2.6 to 5.1
Combined Heat and Power Initiative	0 to 1.1	0.7 to 4.5
Electricity Sector Carbon Policy	0 to 1.6	2.7
Total:	12.1	28.8 to 35.1

#### **CPUC** Greenhouse Gas Performance Policy

- Utilities currently required to use \$8/ton GHG adder in procurement decisions
- CPUC has adopted a Policy Statement regarding a Greenhouse Gas Performance for all utility investments and procurement
  - > Over 20 coal plants in various planning stages throughout the Western United States (14,000 MW)
  - All longer term (more than 3 years) investments and procurement should meet emissions for a combined-cycle natural gas plant

# Historical Impact of Energy Efficiency Programs in California



#### Per Capita Consumption: California vs. 49 Other States



Source: NRDC, 2004

### California Energy Action Plan II

- \* "Loading Order" of Resource Additions
  - Energy efficiency and demand response are preferred resources
  - Renewable generation
  - Distributed and self generation
  - Clean, conventional generation and transmission

### The Most Aggressive Energy Efficiency Program In the United States

#### Cumulative state-wide targets (2004-2013)

- □ 26,506 GWh/yr
- □ 5,000 MW off peak
- 444 MMth/yr
- Eliminates need for 10 new power plants
- $\triangleright$  Eliminates 8.8 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (equal to 1.8 million cars)
- Over 55 percent of incremental energy needs

#### \* 2006-2008 programs will exceed goals

- □ 7,443 GWh/yr (109% of target)
- □ 1,525 MW Peak (104% of target)
- 121,989 Mth/yr (109% of target)
- Eliminates need for 3 new power plants
- > Eliminates 3.4 million tons of  $CO_2$  emissions (equal to 650,000 cars)

Sources: Regulatory Measures to Promote Demand Side Management in California, Presentation by Commr. Susan Kennedy, National Development and Reform Commission, Beijing, China (September 1, 2003); Source for 2006-2008 Program year data, CPUC Decision 05-09-043

# Funding for Energy Efficiency

- \$289 million/year from Public Goods Charge
- Additional \$110 million in 2004 and \$135 million in 2005
- **\*** \$2 billion in 2006-2008
  - Levelized costs of 3 cents/kWh and 21 cents/therm
  - > \$2.7 billion in net savings to consumers
- \$10 billion in net savings projected for 2004 to 2013

# Next Step: A Comprehensive Procurement Incentives Framework

- Financial incentives that align interests of ratepayers, shareholders and management to achieve a proper balance in utility procurement
- Two related policy considerations:
  - Further institutionalize the loading order of preferred resources in the State's Energy Action Plan.
  - Reduce emissions of greenhouse gases
- Possible tools:
  - Rewards/penalties based on performance for some or all resource types
  - GHG Emissions cap and trade
  - GHG performance standards
  - Limits based on fossil fuel types