



Toward a new global carbon budget : challenges and role of land use emissions

Riccardo Valentini, Italy



GeoCarbon and Global Carbon Project



GEOCARBON

opinion & comment

The challenge to keep global warming below 2°C

Glen P. Peters, Robbie M. Andrew, Tom Boden, Josep G. Canadell, Philippe Ciais, Corinne Le Quéré, Gregg Marland, Michael R. Raupach and Charlie Wilson

The latest carbon dioxide emissions continue to track the high end of emission scenarios, making it even less likely global warming will stay below 2°C. A shift to a 2°C pathway requires immediate significant and sustained global mitigation, with a probable reliance on net negative emissions in the longer term.

Long-term emissions scenarios are designed to represent a range of plausible emission trajectories as input for dimate change research². The IPCC process has resulted in four generations of emissions scenarios' is, Cierchiffe Assessment 100% Operial Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES)⁴, and the evolving Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs)⁴ to be used in the upcoming IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. The RCPs were devdoped by the research community a new sparalite process of scenario development, whereby climate models are run using the RCPs while simultaneously to an enter paralite process of scenario and beyond⁴.

It is important to regularly re-assess the relevance of emissions scenarios in light of changing global circumstances¹⁸. In the past, decadal trends in CO₂ emissions



Figure 1 | Estimated CO₂ emissions over the past three decades compared with the IS92, SRES and the RCPs. The SA90 data are not shown, but the most relevant (SA90-A) is similar to IS92-A and IS92-F. The uncertainty in historical emissions is 25% (one standard deviation). Scenario data is generally reported at decadal intervals and we use linear interpolation for intermediate years.

have responded alowly to changes in the underlying emission drivers because of inertia and padh dependence in technical, social and political systems". Inertia and path dependence and changing global financial crises" — and its probabe that

NATURE CLIMATE CHANGE | ADVANCE ONLINE PUBLICATION | www.nature.com/natureclimatechange

© 2012 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved

Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 5, 1107–1157, 2012 www.earth-syst-sci-data-discuss.net/5/1107/2012/ doi:10.5194/essdd-5-1107-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License. Science Signata

Discussion Paper

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Earth System Science Data (ESSD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ESSD if available.

The global carbon budget 1959-2011

C. Le Quéré¹, R. J. Andres², T. Boden², T. Conway³, R. A. Houghton⁴, J. I. House⁵, G. Marland⁶, G. P. Peters⁷, G. van der Werf⁸, A. Ahlström⁹, R. M. Andrew⁷, L. Bopp¹⁰, J. G. Canadell¹¹, P. Ciais¹⁰, S. C. Doney¹², C. Enright¹, P. Friedlingstein¹³, C. Huntingford¹⁴, A. K. Jain¹⁵, C. Jourdain^{1,*}, E. Kato¹⁶, R. F. Keeling¹⁷, K. Klein Goldewijk²⁵, S. Levis¹⁸, P. Levy¹⁴, M. Lomas¹⁹, B. Poulter¹⁰, M. R. Raupach¹¹, J. Schwinger²⁰, S. Sitch²¹, B. D. Stocker²², N. Viovy¹⁰, S. Zaehle²³, and N. Zeng²⁴

¹Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK

²Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

³National Oceanic & Atmosphere Administration, Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA/ESRL), Boulder, Colorado 80305, USA

⁴Woods Hole Research Centre (WHRC), Falmouth, Massachusetts 02540, USA ⁵Cabot Institute, Dept of Geography, University of Bristol, UK

⁶Research Institute for Environment, Energy, and Economics, Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina 28608, USA

⁷Center for International Climate and Environmental Research – Oslo (CICERO), Norway
 ⁸Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands
 ⁹Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Sweden

Glen Peters glen.peters@cicero.uio.no Corinne Le Quéré

C.Lequere@uea.ac.uk

More information, data sources and data files at www.globalcarbonproject.org

Fossil and Cement Emissions



Global fossil and cement emissions: 9.5±0.5PgC in 2011, 54% over 1990 Estimate for 2012: 9.7±0.5PgC, 58% over 1990



Source: Peters et al. 2012; Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012; CDIAC Data

Observed Emissions and Emission Scenarios GEO CARBON

Carbon Project

Emissions are heading to a 4.0-6.1°C "likely" increase in temperature Considerable effect required to keep below 2°C



Source: Peters et al. 2012; Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012; CDIAC Data

Observed Emissions and Emission Scenarios GEO CARBON

Observed emissions (X) continue to track the top-end of all scenarios (
)



Crosses (X) : Historical emissions growth over the period in horizontal axis Circles () : Scenario emissions growth over the period in horizontal axis

Source: Peters et al. 2012; Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012; CDIAC Data

Top Fossil Fuel Emitters (Absolute)

Carbon Project

Top emitters 2011: China (28%), United States (16%), EU27 (11%), India (7%)



Growing gap between EU27 and USA due to emission decreases in Germany, Poland, and Romania. Source: Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012; CDIAC Data

Top Fossil Fuel Emitters (Per Capita)

Carbon

Top emitters 2011: China (28%), United States (16%), EU27 (11%), India (7%)



Source: Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012; CDIAC Data



Land Use Change Emissions

Land-Use Change Emissions

Carbon

Global land-use change emissions: 0.9 ± 0.5 PgC in 2011 The data suggests a general decrease in emissions since 1990





Black line: Includes management-climate interactions; Thin line: Previous estimate

Source: Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012

Persistent effects of logging on forest degradation (Cazzolla et al. 2012 submitted)



N2O emissions from tropical deforestation



Fate of Anthropogenic CO₂ Emissions (2002-2011 average)

$8.3 \pm 0.4 \, \text{PgC/yr}$ 90%





4.3±0.1 PgC/yr 46% 2.6 ± 0.8 PgC/yr 28% Calculated as the residual of all other flux components 26% 2.5 ± 0.5 PgC/yr

Source: Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012



Global Carbon Budget

Carbon

Emissions to the atmosphere are balanced by the sinks Averaged sinks since 1959: 44% atmosphere, 28% land, 28% ocean



The dashed land-use change line does not include management-climate interactions The land sink was a source in 1987 and 1998 (1997 visible as an emission) Source: Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012

Changes in the Global Carbon Budget over Time

The sinks have continued to grow with increasing emissions It is uncertain how efficient the sinks will be in the future



10

Carbon Project











Source: Le Quéré et al. 2012; Global Carbon Project 2012





ocean-only process models.

CONCLUSIONS 1/2

- 1. Progresses have been made on estimating the global carbon balance and its components but still uncertainties are rather high, particularly on land use emissions.
- 2. Uncertainty reduction is possible through the development of a carbon data assimilation system (CCDAS GEOCARBON)
- 3. It is important to estimate the terrestrial carbon sink by direct observations and models (not as residual of LUC emissions)
- 4. Terrestrial carbon show an high spatial and temporal dynamics. It is important to continue to monitor and predict carbon budget components for their vulnerabilities and implications for climate policies.

CONCLUSIONS 2/2

5. Land use emissions show a decreasing trend, although with interannual and decadal variability.

6. We need to keep continuing the emission reductions from deforestation and degradation since negative emissions are required for keeping global warming within 2°C

7. There are still significant processes, related to LUC GHG emissions to be investigated : impacts of logging on forest degradation and N2O emissions associated with LUC.