# **NCQG:** Bringing accountability, trust and developing country needs to climate finance

Alejandra López Carbajal

Climate Diplomacy Director

Transforma

June, 2024



### **Publications**



RANSFORMA

WHAT DOES THE OUTCOME OF THE FIRST GST SIGNIFY FOR DEVELOPING COUNTRIES AND FOR THE NEGOTIATIONS OF THE NCQG?





#### 1. Key take aways on GST sectorial outcomes



1. Transitioning away from fossil fuels	2. 3X RE and 2X EE	3. Reducing emissions of road transport	4. Accelerating zero- and low-emission technologies	5. Halting and reverting deforestation
Triple challenge of meeting growing energy demand and access , maintaining the stability of their economies with declining incomes while making parallel progress in	Disparities between regions in terms of installed capacity, investment, and resources (critical minerals). 90% of global RE capacity in G20 \$12 trillion investment in the power system by 2030 to align with the	Fastest growth rate in global transport in developing countries	CCS is is still the most expensive mitigation option with the least potential contribution to net emission reduction by 2030. Crucial as a complement of deep and rapid reductions in gross emissions in hard to abate sectors.	Large monocultures and livestock are the main deforestation drivers
		Challenges to connection, public transport systems and		Illegal food products and land grabbing: major underlying drivers
replacing fossil fuels 775 million people without		universal coverage . Important gap in transport infrastructure		Redd+ as a good opportunity but with a fragmented finance governance
electricity and around 2 billion lacking access to clean cooking fuels and equipment	1.5°C, particularly needed in grid infrastructure Huge potential in the developing world for RE	EV purchases in many EMDEs small in scale due to high financing and initial purchase costs compared to advanced		Need for more comprehensive and systemic approaches and access to finance
Oil production still		economies.		

#### 1. Key take aways on GST sectorial outcomes



#### 6. Adaptation

The GGA and the GST adaptation sectoral targets: how much they cost and how to implement are the big questions.

- 1. water
- 2. food and agriculture
- 3. health
- 4. ecosystems and biodiversity
- 5. infrastructure and human settlements
- 6. poverty eradication and livelihoods
- 7. cultural heritage

Adequate implementation of the iterative policy adaptation cycle, to

assessing climate hazards informing and implementing NAPs (only 54) establishing multihazard early warning systems developing systems of MEL of national adaptation efforts

#### 7. Loss and Damage

Estimated financial needs for loss and damage of USD 580 billion by 2030, USD up to 1 trillion by 2040 and up to USD 1.7 trillion by 2050 in developing countries.

Need for further development of information, monitoring, coherence with disaster risk reduction, humanitarian assistance and other areas.

#### 2. Key takeaways on GST financial outcomes



1. Relationship between fiscal space and climate action	2. Overall needs of developing countries	3. Innovative sources of funding	4. Climate Financial Disclosure and Management	5. Reform of the international financial system
Constrained fiscal space and soaring levels of indebtedness and/or budget deficit and elevated costs of capital	Financial gap between needs and support provided: \$5.8–5.9 trillion for pre-2030: adaptation \$215–387 billion annually up until 2030; clean energy \$4,3 trillion per year until 2030, increasing thereafter to \$ 5 trillion per year up until 2050, to be able to reach net zero emissions by 2050 Recognition of scales in trillions and interconnected challenges	Specific potential for combined options that can reduce up to 34% of emissions:	First time a reference like this in a COP decisionCon great comTransparent communication of climate-relatedSlow purs billion information, including aspects such as GHG	Contextual to NCQG with great potential to complement it
80% of CF were loans with 90% of resources for mitigation and 60% for adaptation at market rate debt Opportunity to address debt through a variety of instruments (grants, SDRs, policy based guarantees, debt management)		l carbon taxes, at the 3 right price (USD 50- 100 per tCO2e) and the reduction of harmful incentives, namely socially 0 responsible reforms to fossil fuels subsidies		Slow but potential to pursue over USD 490 billion on SDRs and increased lending capacities (G20´s recommendations)

## 3. CBD Financial Targets and & NCQG potential structure



#### 4. Conclusions



The GST signals should be taken into account on strengthened or new NDCs and LTS

- NDCs aligned with the LTS and long term goals of the PA.
- Include GST systemic and cross-sectoral targets

The GST financial signals show that the NCQG is part of a vast ecosystem and will be complemented with a series of other actions and actors. Important hooks and potential to address some of these issues in the NCQG, as a continuation of the GST momentum. USD 100 billion is a really small amount to cover the type of challenges that derive from the GST.

The comparative analysis of the CBD provides insights on how organize the structure of the NCQG:

- The recognition of an average of the top ranges of biodiversity needs and the definition of a subset of these needs as the public international biodiversity goal can be a useful route to determine the NCQG quantum.
- The conceptual equivalence between the CBD SBI report as the most trustworthy source of estimation of needs and the upcoming second SCF NDR which could play that role if it is better aligned to needs for a 1.5°C transition and recent GST outcomes and challenges.
- The strategic approach to combined resource mobilization of Targets 18 and 19 can inspire a mixture of several layers for the NCQG.
- The inclusion of an array of complementary financial instruments to help pursue greater effectiveness, complementarity and potentiate their impact amongst different thematic areas and needs.
- The adoption of a staggered timeframe which defines a 10-year operational time frame with five-year intermediate goals plus an indicative longer-term target (e.g. for 2040 and/or 2050).

### Thank you!



Perspectives of the Global South on the NCQG: **CAN THE CBD FINANCIAL** TARGETS MODEL **BE REPLICATED** FOR THE UNFCCC **NEW CLIMATE FINANCE GOAL?** 



## Thank you

Alejandra López

Diplomacy Director at Transforma

a<u>lejandra.lopez@transforma.global</u>

Linkedin: Alejandra Lopez Carbajal / Transforma Global

