



ألتىر

CGIAR – CCAFS – ILRI COP22 Side event: November 7th, 2016 Walter Oyhantçabal Director of the Sustainability and Climate Change Unit Ministry of Livestock, Agriculture and Fishery - Uruguay

 Uruguay is a livestock country with an economy strongly based on the agricultural sector (70% of all exports).



On the path of growth

Uruguay has 3.4 million inhabitants and feeds 32 millions



Context: simultaneous targets have to be achieved

- 1. More food.
- 2. Less environmental footprint.
- 3. Mitigation and adaptation of/to CC.



Emisiones de Gases de Efecto Invernadero directo – por sector (2010)

% emisiones



MAIN SOURCES OF EMISSIONS



Uruguay's iNDC: proposed mitigation targets in terms of emissions intensity in the beef sector (per kg beef)

	2030 vs. 1990 own effort	2030 vs 1990 with MOI	2010 vs 1990
CH ₄	33% less	46% less	23% less
N ₂ O	31% less	41% less	28% less

Q1: Uruguay experiences in improving activity data, emission factors and coordination to best capture mitigation impacts

- Innovations used to get **activity data** to capture mitigation in the livestock sector.
- Data sources that already existed? New data collected?
- Do you use production system-level approaches?

National Livestock Information System







High quality livestock statistics system

100% traceability of the cattle herd, with electronic and visual tags



Annual electronic sword declararation by all farmers

• Stock: number of heads by category = AD

Land use Diet, as basis for estimating sub-national EF

Emissions = AD x EF

BEEF HERD COMPOSITION (annual electronic sword declaration)

Departamen to / Seccion policial	DICOSE	Bulls	Breeding cows (mated)	Cows for fattening	Steers oldier than 3 years	Steers with 2-3 years	Steers with 1-2 years	Heifers oldier than 2	Heifers with 1-2 years	Male and female	Total number of animals	Mortality	Human consumption
0308	030800257	16	453	54	0	0	100	139	106	229	1097	61	0
0308	030800338	5	173	0	30	84	33	28	25	19	397	10	0
0308	030800648	20	670	0	0	0	6	77	104	305	1182	12	0
0308	030800699	3	98	0	0	0	0	2	29	28	160	0	0
0308	030800982	1	26	0	0	0	0	0	2	46	75	3	0
0308	030801121	7	187	0	10	12	15	41	51	113	436	1	0
0308	030801288	0	4	0	1	1	1	3	0	3	13	0	0
0308	030801504	58	771	0	47	23	40	9	168	543	1659	45	0
0308	030802624	1	79	0	11	15	25	0	0	56	187	0	0
0308	030803043	26	956	43	0	75	460	0	306	695	2561	18	0

DISTRIBUTION OF BEEF CATTLE HERD AND PASTURE RESOURCES BY ECOREGION



BALK

SERR

LLANG

CRITIN

ARENE

LITCHS

514010

Uruguay beef cattle herd: 10.8 million head

		SHARE OF AN	SHARE OF GRASSLAND						
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NUMBER OF CATTLE FARMS	NATIONAL CATTLE INVENTORY	MEEDING	COMPLETE CYCLE	FATTENING	TOTAL AREA	NATURAL GRASSLAND	OTHER GRASSLAND	
			*6			Million Ha	*		
1120	6321 (15%)	27%	28	28	27	4.1	91	5	
AAS DEL E	4776 (11%)	10%	12	9	8	3.4	84	.9	
URAS DEL E	1791 (4%)	5%	6	5	4	0.72	80	14	
ALINO Y LOMADAS	9903 (23%)	24%	24	22	28	3.3	68	22	
ISCAS Y NE	6212 (15%)	18%	17	22	19	2,4	79	12	
ual.	4505 (11%)	10%	9	10	17	1,7	52	18	
ECHEBO	9057 (21%)	5%	4	4	10	0.72	48	38	



What innovations have you used to get emission factors for low emissions production systems?

IPCC Tier 2 method for Enteric fermentation and N₂O

- Using spatially disaggregated information on cattle herd by category and diet quality and composition.
- C-S EF for enteric fermentation, including Tier 2 MCF
- Tier 2 N₂O from manure on grasslands
- Use of FAOSTAT tools for QA/QC
- GLEAM model under calibration



Uruguay is working with FAO to develop and validate Tier 2 models (GLEAM)



 GLEAM is a modelling framework that simulates the environmental impacts of the livestock sector. It represents the biophysical processes and activities along livestock production chains under a life cycle assessment approach.

URUGUAY CAN CALCULATE AVERAGE WEIGHTED EF FACTORS FOR ENTERIC METHANE (BEEF CATTLE) KG CH4/HEAD/YEAR, BY CATEGORY AND TYPE OF PODUCTION SYSTEM,

Category	Production System	Enteric, CH4			
		Kg CH4.head.year			
	Cow-calf	97			
Breeding females	Complete cycle 1	97			
	Complete cycle 2	98			
	Cow-calf	95			
Breeding males	Complete cycle 1	95			
	Complete cycle 2	95			
	Cow-calf	47			
Replacement heifers	Complete cycle 1	47			
	Complete cycle 2	47			
	Cow-calf	69			
Replacement males	Complete cycle 1	69			
	Complete cycle 2	70			
	Complete cycle 1	73			
Lisifors for fattoning	Complete cycle 2	74			
Heifers for fattening	Rearing phase	32			
	Finishing phase on natural grassland	63			
	Complete cycle 1	76			
Staars 2.2 vaars	Complete cycle 2	76			
Steers 2-3 years	Rearing phase	38			
	Finishing phase on improved grassland	59			
	Complete cycle 1	85			
Steers older than 3 years	Complete cycle 2	85			
Steers older than 5 years	Rearing phase	38			
	Finishing phase on natural grassland	75			

CONTRIBUTION OF PRODUCTION PHASES TO ENTERIC CH4 EMISSIONS



SUMMARY OF FEATURES OF BEEF EMISSIONS

- Main sources: enteric CH4 and nitrous oxide from manure
- Pasture-based systems (cow-calf and complete cycle systems) contribute bulk of emissions
- \circ $\,$ Key drivers of emissions and emission intensity
 - Breeding system
 - \checkmark Inadequate and poor nutrition: quality, seasonality
 - ✓ Poor reproductive efficiency: low fertility, low weaning rates, high AFC
 - \checkmark Large breeding overhead
 - \checkmark Low adoption of improved management practices
 - Rearing and finishing
 - ✓ Long and inefficient rearing and finishing periods
- Large variability of emission intensity between and within systems

Q 3: How does Uruguay coordinates collection of data? Aggregating across local level administration? Coordinating projects and national efforts? Across universities, private sector companies and the public sector/government?

Goals for the climate smart project with GEF-FAO in Uruguay

• To mitigate climate change while increasing productivity and resilience.

 Prepare a NAMA and develop MRV tools to scaleup.



REDUCING ENTERIC METHANE EMISSIONS INTENSITY THROUGH IMPROVED PRODUCTION EFFICIENCY AND PRODUTIVITY OF CATTLE IN BEEF PRODUCTION SYSTEMS IN URUGUAY

PRODUCTIVITY GAP



SELECTED INTERVENTIONS FOR URUGUAY

1. Increasing forage

allowance: 90% herd is managed on natural pastures

2. Inter-seeding pasture with grass legumes

3. Sowing grass legume mixtures and annual fodder crops

4. Strategic feeding & supplementation

- winter and summer supplementation
- Dietary flushing

5. Controlled breeding: defined mating season

6. Genetics:

 Heterosis, new breeds, genetic improvement better management of forage resources by matching available forage resources to animal requirements

improving quantity and quality of the basal diet

- native pastures over sown with legumes to increase pasture yield and quality

overcome winter and summer deficits

- address energy and protein constraints during periods of low availability and quality
- timing of mating to match nutritional requirements of herd to the seasonal pasture supply pattern

genetic management to improve reproductive traits

CONCLUSIONS

- A number of practices are available which have potential to reduce EI relative to baseline practices.
- Potential to reduce emission intensity ranges from 23% 47% of the baseline emissions.
 - ✓ Aligns with Uruguay's INDC commitment: reduction of emission intensity by 33%
- Strong synergies with gains in productivity gains and profitability
- Despite this, rate of adoption of these technologies is still at low
 - need to quantify other benefits: carbon sequestration, increased grassland productivity, biodiversity, increased resilience
 - testing on the ground required: to better understand barriers to uptake, costs of implementation,
 - agro-technologies are highly location specific, technology targeting in terms of ecological conditions, socio-economic condition of farmers

