Under 2 MOU: 2050 strategies towards 1.5°C with States, Regions and Cities

Official UNFCCC COP22 Side Event 15 November 2016, Marrakech









WELCOME

Her Excellency Ms. Laurence Tubiana, French Ambassador for Climate Change and High-Level Climate Champion of France

Introduced by Ken Alex, Senior Policy Advisor to Governor Jerry Brown, California

Hon. Mayor Eduardo Paes, Mayor of Rio de Janeiro City (video message)



DIALOGUE – What do cities, states and regions need to deliver on 1.5 C?

Moderator: Ken Alex, Senior Policy Advisor to Governor Jerry Brown, California

Hon. Philippe Couillard, Premier of QuébecDr. Bill Hare, Founder and CEO of Climate AnalyticsHon. Karolina Skog, Cabinet Minister for the Environment, Sweden

Mr. Mark Watts, Executive Director, C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group

CLIMATE ANALYTICS

Lowards the 1.5 Climit towards the 1.5 Climit the Paris Agreement?

"Holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels, recognizing that this would significantly reduce the risks and impacts of climate change" (Article 2.1.a) Paris

"In order to achieve the long-term temperature goal set out in Article 29 arties aim to reach global peaking of green **Dusc as emissions** <u>as soon as</u> <u>possible</u>, recognizing that peaking will take longer for developing countr Scares and o undertake rapid reductions thereafter in accordance with best available science, so as to ASSee Sanada between anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in the second half of this century, on the basis of equity, and in the context of sustainable development and efforts to eradicate poverty" (Article 4.1)

most models indicate 202 global peal need to reac globally zero GH emissions CO emissions arour

CLIMATE ACTION TRACKER



🏧 🗟 🕇 💙 🛃 188



The "Climate Action Tracker" is an independent science-based assessment, which tracks the emission commitments and actions of countries. The website provides an up-to-date assessment of individual national pledges, targets and INDCs and currently implemented policy to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions.

Major challenges ahead for Paris Agreement to meet its 1.5deg warming limit

10th November 2016

Full briefing here. Marrakech—10 November 2016 – The rapid entry into force of the Paris Agreement has created the legal... <u>Read more...</u>

Zero emission vehicles need to take over car market to reach 1.5°C limit: analysis

15th September 2016

ECOFYS

Constructing the future: creating a Paris Agreement-proof building sector

2nd November 2016

The building sector accounts for around 20% of climate-changing emissions, and its energy demand is likely to double by mid-century... Read more...

Assessing the G20 transition to a low-carbon economy

2nd September 2016





sustainable energy for everyone

Where are we and where do we need to go?



The current level of NDC ambition is not compatible with either 1.5°C or 2°C pathways...



	P	ledges	°C	Current policies
• Pari	s Agreement in force, but NDCs		- +5 -	+4.9
	policies have not yet moved		- +4 -	
	Projected to lead to a global warming of around 2.8°C	+3.5		 +3.6
•	About 0.1°C higher than estimated in Paris due to changes in emissions history	+2.8 +2.3 ┸	- +3 -	+2.6
• Cur	rent policies still result in a 3.6°C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	2100.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
	d that NDCs result in an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ 0_	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rovement from current policies	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	vast majority of NDCs not in			
	with Paris Agreement long term			
tem	perature goal			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				CLIMATE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ACTION
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TRACKER





Vast majority of NDCs not in line with 1.5°C limit yet



2030 target a slight slowdown in rate of climate action compared to last 25 years. Acceleration is needed now to reach zero by 2050



With currently implemented measures, Brazil is set to meet its 2025 target, but would **need to make more effort to reach the target emissions levels for 2030**.



Under its current policies, **Canada will miss both its 2020 pledge and its 2030 NDC targets by a wide margin**. In October 2016, the new Canadian Government announced a national mandatory carbon pricing plan that, if enacted, would represent a major step towards policies that could change this adverse outlook.



Vast majority of NDCs not in line with 1.5°C limit yet



The United States needs to fully implement the Clean Power Plan and the Climate Action Plan to meet its 2025 NDC target 26–28% below 2005 levels.



China on track to peak its carbon dioxide emissions between 2025 and 2030, coal use is reducing. **More action is needed on other GHG** to ensure that total GHG emissions go down after 2030.



The rapid growth in renewable energy in India gives an indication of the **transformation that is beginning in India's** energy supply sector, though NDC target not in line with 1.5°C limit.



What about turbulence from the USA? Can we achieve 1.5°C?

Yes we can!

- Strong tailwinds for climate action in many parts of the world:
 - Rapid growth of renewable technologies worldwide
 - Rapid acceleration of markets for electric vehicles and plummeting battery storage costs
 - Fundamental change the geopolitical forces working on climate policy
- Provided political leaders globally maintain their commitment to action, these tailwinds mean that riding through the turbulence that may come is possible.



So how are we tracking towards the 1.5°C limit in the Paris Agreement?





Climate Analytics Science based policy to prevent dangerous climate change

www.climateanalytics.org



DIALOGUE – The Energy Transition: What does 1.5 C mean for key energy-intensive sectors?

Moderator: Ms. Libby Ferguson, States & Regions Director, The Climate Group

Hon. Lesley Griffiths, Cabinet Secretary for Environment and Rural Affairs, Wales

Sir David King, Special Representative for Climate Change, UK

Hon. Shannon Phillips, Minister of Environment and Parks, Alberta

Dr. Michiel Schaeffer, Director of Science, Climate Analytics

e Path to 1.5°C

r Michiel Schaeffer

CLIMATE

What are the required emissions reductions?

What are the implications for different sectors?

"Holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above preindustrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above preindustrial levels (..)"

How to get onto a 1.5°C pathway?

CLIMATE

What are the

implications

for policy

makers?

1.5 DEGREES

The three stages of the 1.5°C compatible pathway.

Peak global GHGs emissions around 2020 Rapid decline of CO₂ emissions to zero globally by 2050 Deployment of negative CO₂ emissions after the 2040s 1.5°C

Presentation draws from our research into strategic areas for transformation that make or break $1.5^{\circ}C$ compared to $2^{\circ}C$



ACTION	Stop building new coal power plants & reduce emissions from current coal plants by at least 30% by 2025
• TRACKER	Sustain the recent 25-30% annual growth rates of wind and solar renewables, until 2025 and reach 100% renewables by 2050
	ations in the emission- ow-carbon after 2020 and iciency
Last fossil fuel car sold in 2035	Develop and get agreement on a 1.5°C compatible vision
Increase building rend from <1% in 2015 to	
Keep agriculture emis below current levels t of disseminate regiona runner' approach	hrough 2025 and other land uses to 95%

Reality check for coal-based power generation capacity

WORLD potential CO₂ emissions from existing and planned coal capacity against least-cost pathways.

The achievement of the Paris Agreement goal requires fast and decisive action.

1.5°C

ed

10

)e

its

10500

14000

Emissions Mt-CO₂

3500

The quicker the phase-out of CO₂ emissions from coal, the lower the need and costs of negative CO₂ emissions in the second half of this century.



Hold warming well below 2°C, 1.5°C

Hold warming below 2°C

Source: IIASA/Joeri Rogelj, GCPT, own calculations

The main cross-cutting areas of action needed

- Internalisation of the external costs and benefits of different products and processes
- Promotion of sustainable behaviour
- Policy learning and policy transfer
- Promoting innovation in low-carbon technologies



Three areas for transformative action 1.5°C

Coal phase-out

Elimination of 10 GtCO₂ of emissions annually would decrease pressure on the carbon budget

Relatively low cost further decreased by cobenefits and decreasing price of alternatives

Low-carbon modes of transport

Oil is second largest emissions source after coal

Transport sector notoriously hard to breaking upward trends

There are solutions - modal changes and E-mobility may offer the largest contributions, but require parallel decarbonisation of the power sector

Energy efficiency of the building stock

Availabel technology but not yet widely used e.g. net-zero emissions buildings

Long legacy of stock make transformation urgent

Energy demand is set to increase two to threee fold by 2050 without intervention



Two areas to keep on the radar

- Land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF)
 - Reductions of emissions need to happen simultaneously with decarbonisation of energy & industry sectors, not in place of action in these sectors

1.5°0

- Limited potential that needs to be utilized carefully
- Challenging sectors steel, cement, aviation, agriculture
 - Difficult to reduce GHGs emissions due to technological limits
 - Improved technologies and behavioral changes are necessary
 - Long-term impact possible if action (e.g. investment in R&D) is taken in the short term)



Benefits an	d Opportunities of the 1.5°C temperature limit
	The economic benefits of keeping warming to a minimum are tremendous!
	Climate Action will benefit public health, agriculture and save lives
	Deploying and maintaining renewables creates jobs and improves employment circumstances
	Low-emission development improves economic stability and energy independence for most economies
	Renewables grew to 90% of new electricity generation in 2015, showing that declining renewable costs, and cooperation on technological transfer across different nations could significantly lower the cost of mitigation

Climate Analytics Science based policy to prevent dangerous climate change

www.climateanalytics.org



DIALOGUE – The Energy Transition: What does **1.5** C mean for key energy-intensive sectors?

Moderator: Libby Ferguson, States & Regions Director, The Climate Group

Hon. Lesley Griffiths, Cabinet Secretary for Environment and Rural Affairs, Wales

Sir David King, Special Representative for Climate Change, UK

Hon. Shannon Phillips, *Minister of Environment and Parks, Alberta*

Dr. Michiel Schaeffer, *Director of Science, Climate* Analytics



Thank you







