Emerging paradigms of understanding on climate change adaptation issues: the 360° approach



Presentation by Alf Wills 15 November 2006 Nairobi, Kenya

Purpose: To offer a developing country perspective on multilateral approaches to adaptation

- Background on current status of the adaptation issue in the multilateral context
- Key perceptions of the scope of adaptation needs and consequent approaches to adaptation
- Possible way forward & issues/questions for further development

Current status

- Adaptation has been treated in piece-meal fashion across the UNFCCC agenda and deliberations are institutionally fragmented
- Often divorced from consideration of the sustainable development objectives of developing countries
- Scientific and socio-economic aspects are separated from the policy domain
- Capacity building and technology transfer elements are part of broader, cross-cutting frameworks focused primarily on mitigation

Current status

- No single expert group or body to provide coordination & in-depth deliberations
- Focus remains on studies and planning, rather than implementation of high priority projects, and funding levels are completely inadequate
- Fragmentation and lack of coherence also extends beyond the UNFCCC framework
- Current status not on par with the priority accorded to the mitigation agenda

Costs & approaches of adaptive actions?

• 2 types of costs: Incremental vs. Full/New

• 2 types of activities: Integrated vs. Stand alone

- Frame this as matrix of activities & costs
 - Climate proofing existing investment
 - New action required largely due to CC

		Type of activity	
		Integrated development	Stand alone activity
Type	Incrementa 1	Funding sources: National funding, WB, GEF, RDBs, other ODA, LDCF, SCCF, AF • Climate proofing new investment • DSM in WRM	Funding sources: National funding, WB, GEF, RDBs, other ODA, LDCF, SCCF, AF •Raising of Dam wall to avoid future flooding
Of COst	Full & New	Funding sources: WB, GEF, RDBs, other ODA, LDCF, SCCF, AF & new sources • Desalination of groundwater • Sourcing new water sources • Switching/diversifying crop varietals and types • Development of new crop varietals	Funding sources: WB, GEF, RDBs, other ODA, LDCF, SCCF, AF & new sources • Dykes for sea level rise • Gene/Seed Bank to preserve biodiversity • Relocation of vulnerable communities & infrastructure

Emerging adaptation policy paradigms?

Two dominant paradigms:

- "90• approach" or "Mainstreaming Paradigm" integration of adaptation activities with development & dealing with incremental costs
- "360° approach" recognises integration & incremental costs, but also addresses dimensions of stand alone adaptation activities & new and full costs
- "90° approach" dominates amongst AI Parties and the IFI's; "360° approach" advocated by NAI Parties

"90°	ADAPTATION:
MAI	NSTREAMING"
	(A1 & IFI's)

	Type of activity		
•		Integrated development	Stand alone activity
,	Herballonoun	Funding sources: National funding, WB, GEF, RDBs, other ODA, LDCF, SCCF, AF Eg. Climate proofing new public and private investment in capital stock; DSM in WRM in drought prone areas	Funding sources; National funding, WB, GEF, RDBs, other ODA, LDCF, SCCF, AF Eg. Raising of Dam wall to avoid future projected flooding
	Figure & Zo	Funding sources: WB, GEF, RDBs, other ODA, EDCF, SCCF, AF & new sources Eg. Desalination for groundwater that becomes saline due to sea level rise, or sourcing new water sources in drought prone areas; Switching and/or diversifying crop varietals and types; Development of new crop varietals with greater resilience, or new agricultural practices, to allow agricultural producers to build on established expertise and maintain markets in similar products	Funding sources: WB, GEF, RDBs, other ODA, LDCF, SCCF, AF & new sources Eg. Dykes for sea level rise; Gene/Seed Bank to preserve biodiversity; Relocation of vulnerable communities and infrastructure; Redesigning human settlements currently in areas within reach of projected high risk events (e.g. drainage areas, coastal zones, rock falls, mudslides, landslides and avalanches, permafrost melting)



"360 °
ADAPTATION",*
(NA1), **
8

Key question: What falls under UNFCCC & KP adaptation work and what not?

- Under broader UNFCCC agenda:
 - coordinate direct assistance & compensation for damage from un-avoided climate impacts
 - risk transfer mechanisms for damage costs insurancebased approaches in collaboration with public & private institutions
 - indirect effects eg. impacts of adaptation PAMs & others



What falls under UNFCCC & KP adaptation work and what not?

- UNFCCC adaptation agenda should include:
 - scientific planning and prediction identify climate risks; early warning; capacity building in NAI; impact risk scenario studies (Stage I activities)
 - national adaptation strategies establish frameworks for action and strengthen capacity in vulnerable countries (Stage II activities)
 - mainstreaming advice, facilitation and incremental costs; coordinate work with other multilateral and bilateral instruments (Stage III: integration & incremental)
 - **implementation** of specifically defined high-priority adaptation measures (Stage III: stand alone & full cost new sources of funding to be mobilized)
 - means of implementation: technology transfer & funding & experts body

Re-think structure of adaptation work under the UNFCCC & KP:

- Scientific: SBSTA:
 - Scientific R&D planning
 - 5 Year Programme of Work to inform SBI (implementation)
- Implementation: SBI:
 - Implementation of specifically defined new & stand alone adaptation activities on full cost basis;
- Facilitate mainstreaming: integration into BAU development incremental cost, work with ODA, partnerships, etc.

What falls under UNFCCC & KP adaptation work and what not?

• Governance: Adaptation Committee of Experts (ACE) to provide advice to the COP & COP/MOP on adaptation activities; link to other Conventions dealing with scientific, technical and funding aspects of adaptation; coordinate with disaster response and risk reduction

Means of implementation

- Development and transfer of adaptation technologies expanded mandate for EGTT move from SBSTA to SBI
- Grow funding base for adaptation activities (orders of magnitude greater than availability) eg. market-based mechanisms, extending SOP levy to JI & ET; voluntary contributions to Adaptation Fund; Air Travel Adaptation Levy; insurance-based incentives

Topics for discussion to reach common understanding

- Can we agree on a "360° approach" approach?
- Which new sources of funding could be mobilized/leveraged?
- How do we quantify and attribute responsibility for adaptation costs?
- Should we establish Adaptation institutional capacity to consider questions such as:
 - What is the starting point of adaptive action?
 - What are the criteria for identifying adaptation costs that are "new" and distinct (stand alone) from BAU development, rather than incremental?
 - How do we identify and quantify the incremental costs of adaptation? Criteria for determining BAU reference point?

Summary

- We must increasingly shift focus from vulnerability assessment to the implementation of the adaptation activities that they suggest.
- We must widen the circle of implementation beyond the mainstreaming of adaptation into the development process.
- The mobilization of **new resources** beyond the existing UNFCCC climate funds, national budgets and ODA funding is required.
- Reorganize the institutional set-up of adaptation to facilitate better implementation.

Thank you

