

What are the experiences and challenges with the existing technical review and analysis and multilateral consideration processes?

- Practical experience has been gained by experts from both developed and developing countries in the review/analysis of reports (NCs, GHG inventories, BRs, BURs)
- Experience gained in the review/analysis process goes back home with the expert
- Multilateral processes to date have emphasised “learning by doing” and being part of the principle of continuous improvement

Key lessons learned:

- The importance of getting started
- Making the most of the review process
- Don't be afraid of mistakes (the experts are there to help!)

How the existing verification experience could serve as a basis for the new transparency framework, including flexibility?

- Important to use the experience gained to date: this should help establish a robust new framework that is practical and does not place an undue burden on Parties or the secretariat
- The flexibility of having a range of review/analysis formats (desk, centralised, in-country) important to retain
- Huge value in having in-country reviews as part of the portfolio: non-threatening and facilitative, and provide a great peer-to-peer learning environment