

# Mobilizing Private Sector Finance

Quantity-Performance Instruments  
for Public Climate Funds

Jennifer Haverkamp

Doha 3 December 2012



$\max (t \text{ CO}_2\text{e} / \$)$

# Fund mechanisms to improve finance effectiveness

Mimic private market functions for public funds

---

- Direct purchase
  - Possibly using **reverse auction** to maximize funds
  - e.g. Amazon Fund
- Top-up mechanism
  - Akin to price floor for allowances supported by public funds
  - e.g. Prototype Methane Financing Facility
- Tradable put option
  - Project developers buy option for selling allowances

# Evaluation criteria

Pluses and minuses differ across stakeholders

---

- Cost-effective? i.e.  $\max (t \text{ CO}_2_e / \$)$ ?
- Require a carbon market?
- Purchased credits retired or sold in market?
- Who “gets credit”?
- Non-performance addressed?

Pros and cons across mechanisms,  
put options likely favorite for fund

# Funding mechanisms as transition to carbon market

Public funds paid to project developers with high or low expected market prices

---

	Market > Public price	Market < Public price
Direct purchase	Incentive to dissolve public contract	Payment of full public price
Top-up mechanism	No payout	Difference paid out
Put option	Initial public earnings, no payout	Full public price, minus initial earnings

Put option comes out ahead

# Thank You

Arunabha Ghosh, Benito Müller, Billy Pizer and Gernot Wagner's paper, "**Mobilizing the Private Sector: Quantity-Performance Instruments for Public Climate Funds**", can be found at:

<http://www.oxfordenergy.org/wpcms/wp-content/uploads/2012/08/Mobilizing-the-Private-Sector.pdf>