

A multilevel governance perspective on REDD+



Anne M Larson, Maria Brockhaus, *et al*. 18 May 2016

Center for International Forestry Research

Bonn, Germany

The complexity of land governance



Multi-level, multi-jurisdictional landscape of Madre de Dios, Peru



http://www.cifor.org/gcs/landscapes-governance-peru/



How do we change the trajectory of land-based carbon emissions?



This study:

- * The multilevel politics of land use and land use change
- * How new initiatives like REDD+ interact with these multilevel politics



Research Countries:

Peru, Indonesia, Vietnam, Tanzania, and Mexico (n=5)

Multilevel governance and carbon management at the landscape scale



Field research site selection



Field Research Case Studies

	Peru													
	San Martin					Madre de Dios					Ucayali			
REDD+ 1 (CIMA)	REDD+ 2 (CI)	Reforestation 1	PES	Oil palm 1 (GR)	Agriculture (Awajun)	REDD+ 1 (BAM)	REDD+ 2 (AIDER)	REDD+ 3 (ACCA)	Mining (La Pampa)	Agriculture 2 (Arca Pahaurca)	REDD+ 1 (AIDER)	Reforestatio n 2	Oil palm 2 (Ucayali)	

		nesia													
West Kalimantan							Central Kalimantan								
REDD+ 1 (FF	(I) Community forest (YPSB	National park) (TNBBBR)		Oil palm 1 (Landau Leb		(PT	REDD + 1 (KFCP) REDD+ 2 (RMU)		Conservation (BOS MAWAS)		BOS Oil p	oalm 1	Oil palm 2		
Tanzania															
	Coastal zone							Interior zone							
REDD+ 1 (CARE Zanzibar)	CARE REDD+2(TFCG (REDD+ 4 (TFCG Kilosa) Charce (Kisara					REDD+ 2 (JGI Mpanda)	REDD+ 3 (TatEDO Shinyanga)		Mining (Kahama)	Agricultu (Uvinza			
Vietnam															
Dien Bien							Nghe An								
PES (PFES Hua Reforestation (Muong		• •	Rubber plantations REI		REDD+ (Muor Muon)	ıg	Acacia (Luc Da)	Hydropower (Yen Na)		Hydropower 2 (Chi		Illega	Illegal logging (Thac Giam)		

					M	exico				
Chiapas Yucatan										
	REDD+ 1 (Alianza REDD)	REDD+ 2 (CONAFOR Early Action)	PES (Ambio)	Oil palm and ranching	Oil palm and rubber, ranching	PES	REDD+ 1 (CONAFOR)	State reserve	Cattle- ranchers	Mechanized agriculture

Muon)

(Muong Pon)

Giam)

Khe)

Nha)

Ngai)

Multilevel Challenges

- Horizontal cross-sectoral challenges identified as one of the central challenges to REDD+ at the national level (Brockhaus et al. 2014) – persist at the subnational level (Ravikumar et al. 2015)
- Coordination issues (horizontal and vertical) related to scattered and non-transparent data sharing are complicated by divergent interests and needs around 'technical issues' (like MRV, Kowler and Larson 2016)
- Central government overrides subnational government decisions, or subnational governments ignore central directives – and powerful actors often find a way to get what they want
- Projects often target proximate but not the underlying deforestation/ degradation drivers (Kijazi, forthcoming)

Common responses...

- ...if there were better coordination
- ...if there were better land use planning
- ...if land use planning were binding



- ...if different levels and sectors would coordinate their land use plans
- ...if a higher level government could just control the lower level governments
- ...if lower level governments just had more autonomy (or capacity, or funding)
- Clearly REDD+ needs to move beyond the environment sector, but...



All of these are solutions,

And none of them are...

Because there are reasons that these things do not happen now.



Where did we find "successes"? (preliminary findings)



Legitimate processes

- Processes for engaging with communities are fundamental for winning support and legitimacy
 - More legitimate arrangements and less conflict were associated with meaningful participation in the process and decisions
 - Legitimate processes are based on effective communication, broad-based participation and effective representation, and a clear definition of roles and expectations



Ownership

- The same can be said for all levels of engagement:
 - Ownership of REDD+ processes is key to finding embedded and sustainable solutions
 - ("Why are outsiders always trying to tell us what to do?")
 - -> Coalition building?



Leadership matters

- Individuals throughout the multilevel network matter for innovative decisions and challenges to existing practices (or business as usual) – and for decisions to be both made and implemented.
 - Equity and local livelihoods outcomes were not determined by the type of actor or intervention (e.g. an oil palm company, a conservation NGO) but rather by the commitment to certain goals and processes of an intervention
 - Livelihoods and environmental outcomes were strongly influenced by the ideology of key actors, both with regard to social inclusion and sustainability, with or without economic incentives

-> The same rules were applied in different/ better ways because of committed individuals



Questions for RBF

- RBF pays for the results, not for the process
- Process is embedded in politics and power relations
- What kind of guidance can be provided for addressing the politics?
- How do we incentivize the will for change to shift the politics in favor of moving outside of business as usual development models?



Equipo global: Anne Larson Markku Kanninen Ashwin Ravikumar Markku Larjavaara Jazmin Gonzales Tovar

Peru:

Laura Kowler Dawn Ward-Rodriguez Carol Burga Harold Gordillo **Tanzania:** Martin Kijazi Joshua Ivan

Indonesia: Rodd Myers Anna Sanders Rut Dini Prasti H.

Vietnam: Annie Yang Tien Nguyen Dinh Vu Tan Phuong Le Quang Trung

Mexico:

Tim Trench Antoine Libert We acknowledge the support from: The European Union (EU), Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad), Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT), UK Government, USAID, International Climate Initiative (IKI) of the German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety (BMUB) and the CGIAR Research Program on Forests, Trees and Agroforestry (CRP-FTA) with financial support from the CGIAR Fund.

http://www.cifor.org/gcs/

http://www.cifor.org/gcs/modules/multilevel-governance/

Organismos donantes

Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety









based on a decision of the German Bundestag



Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR)

CIFOR advances human well-being, environmental conservation and equity by conducting research to help shape policies and practices that affect forests in developing countries. CIFOR is a member of the CGIAR Consortium. Our headquarters are in Bogor, Indonesia, with offices in Asia, Africa and South America.



cifor.org blog.cifor.org

RESEARCH PROGRAM ON

Forests, Trees and

Agroforestry

Produced as part of

CGIAR