

Transitioning from the CDM to the new Art.6.4 mechanism

Igor Shishlov

Senior Consultant, Perspectives Climate Research

25 June 2019, Bonn

www.perspectives.cc | info@perspectives.cc

Agenda

- Negotiation status of the CDM transition
- Criteria for CDM transition and potential pathways
- Key messages







Article 6 negotiation status (after Katowice)



info@perspectives.cc www.perspectives.cc

CDM transition into Art. 6.4

- Transition of credits
 - Possible criteria: vintage (cut off?), country type, project type
- Transition of activities
 - Re-registration + criteria (registration / commissioning date...)
- Transition of methodologies
 - Issues with stringency of methodologies (depending on the stringency of Art. 6.4 in general)
 - CDM methodologies may have to be revised if Article 6.4. has very stringent rules on additionality
- Art. 6.2 => nothing prevents Parties from using the CDM



Criteria for the CDM transition

Criteria are needed to regulate the transition and limit the risk of negative impacts:

- Cut-off based on CDM activity registration date
- Limitation of project type/technology
- Limitation of host countries

Utilizing these criteria we developed 4 pathways:

- Pathway A Full CDM transition
- Pathway B Transition with certain limitations
- Pathway C Transition with stricter limitations
- Pathway D No transition



Possible pathways analysed

Pathway	Cut-off on registration date	Limitation on project type/ technology	Limitation on Host Countries
А	No cut off-date	All types eligible	All countries eligible
В	Only CDM activities with registration date on or after 1 January 2013	Exclusions of: - Industrial gases (HFC and N ₂ O adipic acid) - Large hydro (above 15 MW)	All countries eligible
С	Only CDM activities with registration date on or after 5 November 2016	Exclusions of: - Industrial gases (HFC and N ₂ O adipic acid) - Large hydro (above 15 MW) - Projects involving "clean" coal/EE on coal for industrial applications -Reforestation and afforestation	Only LDCs and SIDS eligible



Impact of restrictions on the CDM transition

	Α	В	С
Projects			
Number of projects	7 805	583	7
CERs already issued (million CERs)	1 963	5,2	0,25
Potential CERs from projects post-2020 (million CERs)	6 912	255	5,1
Potential CERs from projects pre- and post-2020 (million CERs)	14 485	554	8,2
Programmes of Activity (PoAs)			
Number of PoAs	319	109	11
CERs already issued - PoAs (million CERs)	17,2	3,4	0,02
Potential CERs from PoAs post-2020 (million CERs)	630	250	101
Potential CERs from PoAs pre- and post-2020 (million CERs)		332	119
Total			
Total potential CERs post-2020 (million CERs)	7 543	505	106
Total potential CERs pre- and post-2020 (million CERs)	15 445	885	128



Pathway D – No transition: re-framing of activities?

Deregistering CDM activities and register them under the SDM

- New registration process, including associated costs and uncertainties
- Provide a way forward for investors to generate continuity of their investments and ability to generate emission reductions also under the PA

Utilization of Article 6.2 mechanism

 Cooperative approaches allow designing tailor-made alternatives and provide a leeway for investors to generate revenues potentially along the lifetime of the CDM activity



Conclusions

- CDM transition is important to keep trust of private sector in long-term stability of market mechanisms
 - Impact on overall ambition of mitigation (private sector pressure on keeping NDCs lenient if there are no credible markets)
- "Buy off" accumulated CER surplus through public climate finance in order to allow "fresh start"
 - Subject to what quality criteria?
- Ensure at least transition of methodologies and "high quality" activities
 - Transition of credits from LDCs?



Thank you! Igor Shishlov

shishlov@perspectives.cc

Read the <u>full study</u> on <u>www.perspectives.cc</u>



erspectives.cc | info@perspectives.cc

Additional elements that may affect the transition

- Eligibility of mitigation measures in sectors not covered in the NDC
- Eligibility of mitigation measures under conditional or unconditional pledges
- Eligibility based on the level of standardization of methodologies
- Baseline setting, additionality testing and conservativeness
- Eligibility based on the contribution to Sustainable
 Development



Article 6.4 activity cycle may resemble the CDM

