

SB24 Side Event
Friday, May 19th 2006, 18:00-20:00
Room *Wind*







Reducing Emissions from Deforestation in Developing Countries
Blumau Workshop, 10-12 May 2006

**BOG 1: Trends, Causes, Countermeasures
and National Implementation**

Chair: M Skutsch
Rapporteur: E. Rametsteiner



Key dimensions & causes: country experiences


Deforestation		Degradation	
Governed	Ungoverned	Governed	Ungoverned
<p>Agriculture expansion Infrastructure Oil and Mining</p> 	<p>Fire Urban expansion Oil and Mining Shifting Agriculture</p> 	<p>Infrastructure Legal logging Mining Absence of forest management Agriculture</p> 	<p>Biofuel extraction Illegal logging Slash and burn agriculture</p> 
 <p>USAID</p>		 <p>© N. Laporte</p>	

Drivers, trends and counter measures: country experiences

Deforestation

Drivers	Proposed countermeasures
Business (governed)	Integr. cross-sector LUP Productivity push Legality certification SFM certification PES mkt link Land tenure arrangements

Subsistence+ (Small agri, encroachment) popul. growth (non-governed)	Participatory LUP PES mkt link
--	-----------------------------------




(c) Tammy Deluso.com

Degradation

Drivers	Proposed counter measures
Business (governed)	Integr. cross-sector LUP Rehabilitation obligation SFM certification

Subsistence+ (non-governed)	Decentralization to community level Land tenure arrangements Participatory LUP Productivity push Edu. Training Fire: FLEG
--------------------------------	---



Deforestation

Drivers	Proposed counter measures
Big agri / business (governed)	Integr. cross-sector LUP Productivity push Legality certification SFM certification PES mkt link Land tenure arrangements
Subsistence+ (Small agri, encroachment) popul. Growth (non-governed)	Participatory LUP PES mkt link




ODA type approach?

Kyoto / CDM
type approach?

Degradation

Drivers	Proposed counter measures
Business (governed)	Integr. cross-sector LUP Rehabilitation obligation Certification of SFM
Subsistence+ (non-governed)	Decentralization to community level Land tenure arrangements Participatory LUP Productivity push Edu. Training Fire:



ODA type
approach?

Kyoto / CDM
type approach?

Discussion results

Deforestation:

- Basics to take up needed
- Bundling local/project & set up national schemes: easier to implement & monitor? PES/Carbon vs. standard economic dev. approach
- Mechanism options:
 - ODA type approaches seem more relevant / important
 - ODA type: contextualize, big money needed



Concluding comments

- Linking individual drivers with measures: complex but needed
- Degradation suggestions are relevant for deforestation
- How can natl. systems reach people on the ground?
 - If it can't be linked it can't work. Bundle, context-specific
 - A national „mini-CDM system“ and/or project-based
 - Learn from existing schemes (CDM, PES) and pilot-test
 - Build on existing institutional structures, new ones are prone to fail
- Keep the future in mind when designing mechanisms!