

DEVELOPING THE ITL

IT CAN BE DONE...QUICKLY !

COP11-COP/MOP1, Montreal,
December 1, 2005

Johan Pype, Tractebel Engineering
Ronald Velge, Trasys



Tractebel Engineering
suez

A Short Reminder

- Trasys selected and designated at the **end of 2003**
- Situation **begin 2004**
 - ⌘ Draft Regulation on EU ETS Registry Systems
 - ⌘ Linking Directive not finalised
 - ⌘ Preliminary Registry System Specs
 - ⌘ UNFCCC
 - > DES functional and technical nearly finalised
 - > ITL only functional specs
 - > CDM Registry no specs
- One certitude **1st of January 2005**

Basic System Options

- National registries of EU ETS incorporated in KP registries
- CERs acceptable from 2005 on, but depends on KP registry system
- Design specs of CITL based on design specs of the ITL
 - ⌘ compatibility of the transaction log
 - ⌘ avoiding double checks
- No direct link between the NRs

New System Options

- New architecture
 - ⌘ NR connected with the ITL in stead of the CITL
 - ⌘ CITL becomes first Supplementary Transaction Log (STL)
- Reduction of number of accounts and tables
- One account for each installation (operator holding account)
- No conversion of CERs or ERUs into EAUs

Lessons Learned

- Need of integrated team developer – client
 - ⌘ quick decisions on design options
 - ⌘ parallel drafting of regulations containing the business rules
- Parallel development of
 - ⌘ business rules finalization
 - ⌘ hosting-security options
 - ⌘ source code programming
 - ⌘ test program (initialization, CITL-tests, connection tests NRs)

Lessons Learned (cont'd)

- Continuous process
 - ⌘ flexibility
 - ⌘ new decisions, e.g. how to deal with the JI double counting issue ?
- De facto phased approach
 - ⌘ testing schedule based on need for functionalities and checks

Critical Success Factors

- Enough resources
- Relationship developer and Secretariat – EC DG ENVI
- Agreement on final set of business rules and checks
- Parallel Project Schedule for development, hosting, initialization and testing
- Availability of databases such as Compilation & Accounting, CDM and JI projects

Prompt Start

- Identifying the missing part of source code
- Scenario for the migration of EU registries connections to ITL
- Starting ITL under minimal functionalities (phased approach)
 - ⌘ all functions – checks currently executed by CITL
 - ⌘ connection with the CDM registry
- Challenge to get first CERs into NRs before 30 april 2007 for compliance in EU ETS

What Need To Be Done

- Quick decision on vendor for ITL development and operation
- Quick deployment of an integrated project team with experts from
 - ⌘ UNFCCC Secretariat
 - ⌘ EC DG ENVI
- Installment of an efficient decentralized decision process on development issues
- Set-up of challenging milestones

Thank You ! Your Questions are welcome !



MKTG/4A/57124/000/06

Contact

Johan Pype

Senior Consultant Carbon Management

Tractebel Engineering

johan.pype@tractebel.com

MKT G/4A/57124/000/06

